

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS-P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/718,312	11/22/	/2000 .	Walter F. Rausch	1437	3505
. 7	590	06/09/2003			
Attn: Harley			EXAMINER		
Sprint Law De Mailstop: MOI			NGUYEN, DUC M		
8140 Ward Parkway Kansas City, MO 64114				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, ,-				2685	
				DATE MAILED: 06/09/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary



09/718,312

Applicant(s)

_ ----

. . .

Examiner

Art Unit 2685

Rausch et al



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period f	for Reply					
A SHO	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
mailing	date of this communication.	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply as	e statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
- Any rep	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the					
earned Status	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This acti					
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is refer to Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-68</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-68</u>					
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applica	tion Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
;	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea 	ocuments have been received in this National Stage				
*Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the					
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
a) □	The translation of the foreign language provisiona	I application has been received.				
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachm						
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Nots). 2 6) Other:					
3) [X] Im	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)2	6)				

Serial Number: 09/718,312 Page 2

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The references listed in the information disclosure statements submitted on 11/15/02 has been considered by the examiner (see attached PTO-1449).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 63 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Appel et al** (GB Pub. Number 2347319).

Regarding claim 63, Appel discloses a wireless communication which receives and converts a communication signal to an optical signal for transmitting over a fiber optical cable which would include all the claimed limitations (see Figs. 10, 13, and col. 14, lines 14-19).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Serial Number: 09/718,312 Page 3

Art Unit: 2685

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-62, 64-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Appel in view of Ariyavisitakul (US Pat No. 5,046,066) and Bickley et al (US Pat No. 5,982,322).

Regarding claims 1, 7, 11, 14, 35, 41, 45, 57, 67-68, the claims are rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 63 above. However, Appel fails to disclose a stabilizing system and a converting system. However, since Appel discloses a frequency synthesizer and GPS receiver which provides accurate clock and frequency signal to the main controller (see col. 15, lines 4-26), and although Appel is silence on the frequency conversion, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to modify Appel to down convert to a lower frequency before modulating electrical signals to optical signals as suggested by Ariyavisitakul (see Fig. 4 and col. 5, line 56 - col. 6, line 30), for allowing greater flexibility in allocating bandwidth over the optical link and the wireless link. Further, since Appel discloses a GPS receiver, it is clear that the frequency synthesizer in Appel's teaching would obviously comprise a stable oscillator which is calibrated by the stable timing signal from GPS receiver as disclosed by Bickley (see col. 8, lines 1-19), for synchronization purpose. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to combine teachings of Appel, Ariyavisitakul and Bickley to provide a stabilizing system and a converting system as claimed, for synchronizing oscillator with GPS timing signal to account for drift rates

Serial Number: 09/718,312 Page 4

Art Unit: 2685

(Bickley's teaching), and for frequency down conversion frequency before modulating electrical signals to optical signals to allow greater flexibility in allocating bandwidth over the optical link and the wireless link (Ariyavisitakul's teaching), wherein it is clear that the stabilizing system would comprise a stabilized local oscillator and the converting system would comprise a block converter as claimed.

Regarding claims 2-6, 8-10, 12-13, 17-18, 22, 24-25, 29-31, 34, 36-39, 42-43, 48-51, 55-56, 58-62, 64-65, the claimed are interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1 above.

Regarding claims 15-16, 23, 29, 32, 2-6, 8-10, 12-13, 17-18, 22, 24-25, 29-31, 40, 44, 46-47, 66, the claimed are rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1 above. In addition, since such features (i.e, amplifier, filter or frequency range) as recited in the claims are well known in the art, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to further modify Appel, Ariyavisitakul and Bickley to incorporate such features into the system, for improving signal quality and system performance of the communication system.

Regarding claims 26-28, 52-54, the claims are rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1 above. In addition, since Appel discloses an upper and lower portion of a tower (see Fig. 10), it would have been obvious that the GPS receiver for generating a stable timing signal can be located at the upper or at the lower portion of a tower for which the selected portion would receive better signals from GPS satellites.

Serial Number: 09/718,312

Art Unit: 2685

system.

Regarding claims 33-34, the claims are rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1 above. In addition, since the use of redundant components in a communication system is well known in the art for backup failure components, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to modify Appel, Ariyavisitakul and Bickley to comprise such redundant components as recited in the claim, for providing a back up system to minimize disruptions of the communication

Page 5

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- **Talbot** (US Patent Number 6,163,294), Time-tagging electronic distance measurement instrument measurements to serve as legal evidence of calibration.
 - Maloney et al (US Patent Number 6,047,192), Robust, efficient, localization system.
- Graves et al (US Patent Number 6,198,558), Architecture re-partitioning to simplify outside-plant component of fiber-based access system.
- **Schalamon** (DE Pub Number 3707244), Method for digital transmission of radio signals.
- 7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

Frebyusen

Page 6

Art Unit: 2685

(703) 872-9314 (for formal communications intended for entry)

(for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc M. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-4531, Monday-Thursday (9:00 AM - 5:00 PM). Or to Edward Urban (Supervisor) whose telephone number is (703) 305-4385.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Duc M. Nguyen

May 28, 2003